



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,763	03/02/2000	THOMAS KNEIDEL	C-3717	4545
2292	7590 04/15/2005		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	LIN, KENNY S		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/486,763	KNEIDEL, THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenny Lin	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		' '				
•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 2-9 are presented for examination.
- 2. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.
- 3. In response to this requirement, please provide a copy of each of the following items of art referred to in the background of the disclosure.

Connect magazine, July 1997, p. 74.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leuca et al (hereinafter Leuca), US 6,201,797, in view of Fortman et al (hereinafter Fortman), US 5,987,100, Shimizu et al (hereinafter Shimizu), US 3,878,333, and Spracklen, "Digital Communications Protocol in the Satellite Environment".

Application/Control Number: 09/486,763 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

6. Leuca, Fortman and Shimizu were cited in the previous office action. Spracklen was cited by the applicant in IDS.

- 7. As per claim 2, Leuca taught the invention substantially as claimed including a system for transmitting data to computers of requesting users over a wideband satellite transmission channel, wherein
 - a. For requesting the data, the users are connected to a base station (col.2, lines 13-22, 58-60, col.3, lines 31-37), which is connected to the Internet, via a path for transmitting a request for data (col.2, lines 58-62, col.3, lines 45-46, 59-67, col.4, lines 1-8, col.5, lines 40-41);
 - b. The users download the sent data over said wideband satellite transmission channel onto their computer (col.2, lines 17-22, col.4, lines 13-21).
- 8. Leuca further taught to send acknowledgements to the users (col.5, lines 33-39) and to use TCP/IP as a networking protocol (col.6, lines 35-41). Leuca did not specifically teach to use shortwave radio path for transmitting data according to a TCP/IP protocol and for notifying the users by a notification about the sent data; and the requested data is sent to a mailbox in the Internet for the users. Fortman taught to store data in a mailbox and to notify users by a notification about the data prior to the user's access to the data (col.1, lines 4-11, col.2, lines 39-44, 48-55, col.4, line 41, col.5, lines 1-6; table 1) and further convert the format of the data according to the user's desire format. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca and Fortman because

Art Unit: 2154

Fortman's teaching of using universal mailbox in storing data and to notify the users of the data using various notifications enhance Leuca's method to store user requested data in a mailbox and to convert the data according to the user's desire format (see Fortman col.2, lines 39-44, 48-55). Leuca and Fortman did not specifically teach to use shortwave radio path for transmitting data according to a TCP/IP protocol. Shimizu taught a system to communicate using short waves (col.1, lines 3-7, col.4, lines 17-24, 37-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Fortman and Shimizu because Shimizu's teaching of using shortwave circuits in transmitting message enhance Leuca and Fortman's system to transmitting using high frequencies (see Shimizu col.11, lines 15-18). Leuca, Fortman and Shimizu did not specifically teach the shortwave radio path for transmitting data according to a TCP/IP protocol. However, Spracklen taught to modify satellite networks including radio networks to use TCP/IP protocol for transmission with protocol enhancement (page 1, lines 4-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Fortman, Shimizu and Spracklen because Spracklen's teaching of enhancing TCP/IP protocol to improve satellite network transmission using TCP/IP protocol benefits Leuca, Fortman and Shimizu's system with better transmission performance.

9. As per claim 8, Leuca, Fortman, Shimizu and Spracklen taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 2. Leuca further taught that the data that is transmitted is Internet-Information (col.2, lines 58-62, col.5, lines 40-41).

Application/Control Number: 09/486,763

Art Unit: 2154

10.

substantially as claimed in claim 2. Fortman further taught to sent users notifications about the

As per claim 9, Leuca, Fortman, Shimizu and Spracklen taught the invention

Page 5

data prior to the user's access to the data (col.2, lines 39-44, 48-55, col.4, line 41, col.5, lines 1-

6; table 1) and that the notification can be of various type of preference according to the type of

telecommunications equipment the subscriber uses (e.g., base station using shortwave radio path;

col.5, lines 1-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Fortman, Shimizu and Sparcklen

because Fortman's teaching of sending various types of notification in according to the user's

telecommunications equipment enable Leuca, Fortman, Shimizu and Sparcklen's method to sent

notification to the users using preferred equipment and topology.

11. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leuca et

al (hereinafter Leuca), US 6,201,797, in view of Shimizu et al (hereinafter Shimizu), US

3,878,333, and applicant admitted prior art (hereinafter AAPA) stated in the application

specification.

12. As per claim 3, Leuca taught the invention substantially as claimed including a data

transmission system comprising:

a. A plurality of user terminals for requesting data and receiving the request data

(col.2, lines 13-22, 58-60, col.3, lines 31-37);

b. A base station for receiving a data request from the plurality of user terminals

(col.2, lines 58-60, col.3, lines 45-46; 12, fig.1), the base station receiving the data

Application/Control Number: 09/486,763 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

request by transmission according to a protocol specific for data transmission (col.3, lines 59-67, col.4, lines 1-8); and

- c. A satellite transmission path for providing the plurality of user terminals with the request data (col.2, lines 17-22, col.4, lines 13-21).
- transmission and the shortwave transmission operates in a simplex mode of operation. Shimizu taught a system to communicate using short waves (col.1, lines 3-7, col.4, lines 17-24, 37-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca and Shimizu because Shimizu's teaching of using shortwave circuits in transmitting message enhance Leuca's system to transmitting using high frequencies (see Shimizu col.11, lines 15-18). Leuca and Shimizu did not specifically teach that the shortwave transmission operates in a simplex mode of operation. However, AAPA showed that shortwave radio connections are most commonly operated in simplex mode (see application specification page 2, paragraph 007, lines 3-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA to operate shortwave transmission in simplex mode since it is a common operation (see application specification page 2, paragraph 007, lines 3-6).
- 14. As per claim 5, Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 3. Leuca further taught that the plurality of user terminals receive and request data from the Internet (col.2, lines 58-62, col.5, lines 40-41).

Art Unit: 2154

- 15. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Spracklen, "Digital Communications Protocol in the Satellite Environment".
- 16. As per claim 4, Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 3. Leuca further taught to use TCP/IP as a networking protocol (col.6, lines 35-41). Spracklen taught to modify satellite networks including radio networks to use TCP/IP protocol for transmission with protocol enhancement (page 1, lines 4-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Shimizu, AAPA and Spracklen because Spracklen's teaching of enhancing TCP/IP protocol to improve satellite network transmission using TCP/IP protocol benefits Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA's system with better transmission performance (see Leuca, col.6, lines 35-41).
- 17. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Fortman et al (hereinafter Fortman), US 5,987,100.
- 18. As per claim 6, Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 3. Leuca further taught to enable users to download the request data over said wideband satellite transmission channel onto their computer (col.2, lines 17-22, col.4, lines 13-21) and send

Application/Control Number: 09/486,763 Page 8

Art Unit: 2154

acknowledgements to the users (col.5, lines 33-39). Shimizu further taught to transmit messages using shortwave transmission (col.1, lines 3-7, col.4, lines 17-24, 37-45). They did not specifically teach the base station to transmit information to the plurality of user terminals for acknowledging that requested data is available for receipt via the satellite transmission path. Fortman taught to store data in a mailbox and to notify users that the requested data is available for receipt (col.1, lines 4-11, col.2, lines 39-44, 48-55) and further convert the format of the data according to the user's desire format. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA and Fortman because Fortman's teaching of using universal mailbox in storing data and to notify the users of the data enhance Leuca, Shimizu and AAPA's method to store user requested data in a mailbox and to convert the data according to the user's desire format (Fortman col.2, lines 39-44, 48-55).

- 19. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leuca et al (hereinafter Leuca), US 6,201,797, in view of Shimizu et al (hereinafter Shimizu), US 3,878,333, Spracklen, "Digital Communications Protocol in the Satellite Environment", and applicant admitted prior art (hereinafter AAPA) stated in the application specification.
- 20. As per claim 7, Leuca taught a system for transmission of data to requesting users over a wideband satellite transmission channel,

Art Unit: 2154

Wherein, for requesting the data, the users are connected to a base station via a path for transmitting data according to a TCP/IP protocol (col.2, lines 13-22, 58-60, col.3, lines 31-37, 45-46, col.6, lines 35-41, 12, fig.1).

21. Leuca did not specifically teach to use shortwave radio path for transmitting data according to a TCP/IP protocol and the shortwave radio path operates in a simplex mode of operation. Shimizu taught a system to communicate using short waves (col.1, lines 3-7, col.4, lines 17-24, 37-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca and Shimizu because Shimizu's teaching of using shortwave circuits in transmitting message enhance Leuca's system to transmitting using high frequencies (see Shimizu col. 11, lines 15-18). Leuca and Shimizu did not specifically teach the shortwave radio path for transmitting data according to a TCP/IP protocol and that shortwave transmission operates in a simplex mode of operation However, Spracklen taught to modify satellite networks including radio networks to use TCP/IP protocol for transmission with protocol enhancement (page 1, lines 4-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Shimizu and Spracklen because Spracklen's teaching of enhancing TCP/IP protocol to improve satellite network transmission using TCP/IP protocol benefits Leuca and Shimizu's system with better transmission performance. Leuca, Shimizu and Spracklen did not specifically teach that the shortwave transmission operates in a simplex mode of operation. However, AAPA showed that shortwave radio connections are most commonly operated in simplex mode (see application specification page 2, paragraph 007, lines 3-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/486,763 Page 10

Art Unit: 2154

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Leuca, Shimizu, Spracklen and AAPA to operate shortwave transmission in simplex mode since it is a common operation (see application specification page 2, paragraph 007, lines 3-6).

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Serizawa et al, US 5,754,961.

- 24. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.
- 25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenny Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM Tue.-Fri. and every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,763

Art Unit: 2154

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksl April 13, 2005

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERINCORY PATENT EXAMINER
CONNCLOGY CENTER 2100